History: 05-

	A. Cover-Sheet Template for Fixear Program	n Reviews	.22
	B. Table of Contents Template	23	
IV.	CAPR Format for Response to Fivear Pro	gram Reviews	25

I. ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW PROCEDURES

A. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of academic program review at CSUEB relates to three primary functions:

- 1. Accountability Academic program review is one way to ensure to the Botant Sof Trustees, WASC and the public it serves that CSUEB is providing quality programs;
- Program ImprovementThe academic review process provides a continuing cycle for program
 faculty, staff, and administrators to receive timely information and a forum for providing feedb
 ensuring an institutional commitment for qualityinprogramment; and
- 3. Program and Resource Alignment academic program review provides the means to ensure that CSUEB will offer an appropriate array of academic programs and that the institutional resources will be effectively aligned with its academis pro

CAPR has determined that, at CSUEB, academic program review will be required for all baccalaureate, master s and doctoral degree programs, and shall include curricular and academic support programs of as General Education and Athletics; and shall include curricular and academic support programs of services. Generally, reviews of graduate programs will be scheduled at the same time as the review of undergraduate program(s) within the same discipline. However, graduate programs are expected to provide specific program data and analysis on all elements where possible. The Program Review Schedule will be updated annually and posted on the Academic Senate website.

In addition, CAPR will provide support to academic programs undergoing review. This will include the provision of a workshop or workshops on the requirements, the timelines, the statistical data, and ar element of the program review process that is needed or requested. Each program will be assigned a liaison from CAPR, one of whose responsibilities is to assist the program with its process.

B. ANNUAL PROGRAM REPORT

1. Introduction and Planning Discussions

The AnnualReport provides the basislationing consultation between the program and appropriate administrators, paresent facts and record the outcome(s) of processes for refere in the future. Annual Report is also the basis for any new resources, including the program may requestive Annual Report should provide evidence of the program completed during the previous annual assessment cycle. Each program is expected to report on the full assessment cycle for one program outcome in each annual report.

The assessment cycle, which includes review and alignment of phogs and thurriculum (i.e. curriculum mapping), assessment planning, direct and indirect assessment, actionseloaken to close-and reporting, will be completed by all programs every year. CAPR and programs will coordinate PLO assessment activitied reporting with Educational Effectiveness Council.

The Annual Report provides data for the fine eview, and is especially useful to validate progress on CAPR recommendations; the Annual Report tracks and the outcomese of requests; and ensures continuity and full disclosure between the outgoing/incoming department chain

Viewed as progress on the department or programs PFaivre departments/programs will file the Annual Report in the Senate Office. These Annual Reports will become payeauf Atcadeivric Program Reviewor both external accredited programs earternally accredited programes. Annual Report is a valuable mechanism to hold departments and the administration jointly accountable.

Each program will produce a b

completion of the program review. The title page of the program review document (see IV. A. Cover Sheet Template for-Year Program Review) shall verify that thempfaculty has approved the Selfudy and the (Amended)-Year Plan and will note the date of approval. The results of the faculty vote shall also be noted.

- 2. CAPR will examine the Program's complete defive eview documents and will meet with the program Chair/Director, faculty, and others deemed appropriate.
- 3. CAPR shall write its final report to the Senate, using a consistent format (see VII. CAPR Forma for Response to FWeen Program Reviews) to provide its recommendations. CAPR shall determine one of four possible recommendations for the program:
 - a) Continuation without modification;

Programs with this recommendation generally have the following characteristics:

- x responsive to previous-yielder review, including progress towards goldiediotent the previous plan anderenal reviewer recommendations;
- x a strategiplan for the next five years;
- x on going and consistent assetsofterrogram learning outcomes;
- x acton assessment results, i.an hiterative assessmenteps;
- x d

modification

- 2.2. Assessment and Curriculu**Thi**s section should contain a summary and analysis of the program s Assessment Plan. Retraiting demultiple programs must contain a separate assessnt summary for each proghisms ulimmary should contain:
 - a) a list of the program s learning outcomes (PLOs),
 - b) a curriculum map demonstrating the alignment of courses to PLOs,
 - a description of whatesement measures have been used to measure each of the PLOs,
 - d) a summary of the findings from the pleagnamy outcomes assessed since the last program review and indicate if the desired levels of learning were achieved from each of these assessments, and
 - e) a discussion of any program improvement actions taken based on the findings.

If the program offers General Education courses, a summary of datherioming ogram outcomes will be included, with a discussion of program or course offerings on the campuses (Hayward, Concord, and Online), the Oaklasidon Ralo Cesster, and other venues

2.3. Student Success: Programs should discuss how they are addressing student success 2.3. (52(1x1(F)))(9)(ir)4 (f2(i)-5 (0x6C) (3)) (vut)(d50)-2 (v)a C)(x2(dv)(3))(x3(a)

- 3.1. Curriculum. What curricular changes do you envision during the next five years? What developments are likely to cause you to change the curriculum? Discuss prospects and changes relevant to all campuses and locations relevant to ytayvparogram Concord, Online, the Oakland Center, etc. What changes are planned for General Education? Discuss any relevant changes to a multicultural learning experience. Discuss any changes to your curriculum associated with SB1440 The STAR Act for Associate Degree transfer, if applicable.
- 3.2AssessmentWhat is the program s assessment plan for the next five years? What if any chang will you make to your Program Learning Outcomes? What is your schedule for assessin your PLOs? What assessment processes will you be using to assess your PLOs?
- 3.3. StudentSuccess. Do you see the number of students majoring in your program increasing or decreasing during the next five years? Refer back to the statistics provided in your Self Study. Do you anticipate new programs or outreach to newastoods? It \psi in the career opportunities open to your graduates change during the next five years? How we your program adjust its curriculum and program practices to prepare students for those opportunities? Do you expect your total enrollments too integree seed during the next five years? What are your plans for improving advising and retention for students in the org /TT2 1 Tf 0.0t

the review process, the External Reviewer will receive:

- a) a copy of the Principles Regarding Faculty Participation Tima Tkm Allocation Procedures
- b) The program s institutional data
- c) the Selfstudy, including all attachments;
- d) annuareports written since the previous fineview;
- e) the Plan;
- f) the Mission Statements of both the University and the Program;
- g) any additional documents the program deems helpful.

The ExternaReviewer will meet with the Dean, the Program ChaifáDirley,tst,udents, staff, library liaison, and others duringsthevissit.

The External Reviewer's Report shall address the program's strengths as well as weaknesses, offer suggestions for improvement of the program, fulfillment of its mission and enhancement of position with respect to system and national trends. A completed copy of the External Reviewer's Rubric should be attached to the report.

Refer to the CAPR website for detailed information about the External Reviewer appointment

selection process.

5. Program s Response. Upon receiving the rankeviewer s Report, the faculty of the program will respond in writing. Recommendations, concerns and issues raised by extremital

<u>November</u>: Postponement requests, with full justification, laterdum the first CAPR meeting of November and the signed by the Dean. Program representation MUST attend the meeting to answer CAPR questions regarding the request.

End of Fall Electronic submission deadline for the External Reviewer(s)

Winter Querter Early in the quarter a date for the External Reviewer Visitation will be set and the date will be provided to CAPR. The Program Chair or designee will provide the External Reviewer with the Saliday and Five Plan and other appropriate and provide to the visitation date. The External Reviewer's Report will be received prior to the end of Winter Quarter.

End of Winter Quartethe College Dean or Associate Dean shall meet with the Program Review Committee to review and discuss that Sylfthe draft Filear Plan, and the External Reviewer s report. The External Reviewer s Report is due in electronic format to the Senate Of at the end of Winter Quarter.

Early Spring Quartethe College Dean shall submit written comments to the Program's Review Committee for consideration by the program faculty in order for the program faculty to prepare written response to the External Reviewer's Report(s) and finalize an Yaman Market Five needed.

- the program will adhere to the timeline as closely as possible. Requests for such delays are m to CAPR in writing through the Senate Office, with written approval from the Dean.
- Programs with external accimuliant granted an automatic date change on the Program Review
 Schedule to coincide with the receipt of the approved external accreditation. The need for suchange is made to CAPR in writing through the Senate Office, with written concurrence from
 Dean.
- 3. Programs withouternal accreditation requesting a full year extension (postponement) of their scheduled Academic Program Review (APR) must use the following process:
 - a. The request for extension shall provide a detailed explanation of the extraordina circumstances motivating the request. Approval by the Dean of the program s college shal accompany the written request, addressed to the Chair of CAPR and delivered to the Academic Senate Office. The request for a one year extension frome CAPR mistrad b no later than timest CAPR meeting of November (and must be signed by the Dean) during the year in which the review is originally scheduled. In extraordinary circumstances, CAPR has approved tween extensions.
 - b. If an extension is approxed rder to prepare for the following year s review, the program shall submit a progress report (or draft submission) by May 1 of the academic year in which the APR was originally scheduled, indicating the state of data collection and preparation of APR document. The program shall schedulet that eview during the Summer or Fall Quarter of the extension year, to occur as early as possible CAPR will receive the complete program review no later than January 1304 extension year.
- G. MISSING ORG ORG 71 Tf Od [(MIS)4198(71 Tf .o2 .o2 .)-2(t)8()7(O Tw -0.002 Tw [()-3(R)7)7(I

H. MONITORING OF THE PROGRAM REVIEW PROCESS

The Academic Senate Office will provide assistance to CAPR and the Departments/Colleges in trackinght Program Review Process and implementation of CAPR recommendations for review data and approved postponements.

The Program Chair or Director is responsible for carrying out the curricular, structural and assess recommendations specified in the PART am Review document and noting progress on these changes in the subsequent Annual Reports.

The College Dean or Dean's appointee will monitor the program's program review process to ensutimely and thoughtful completion of the Five Year Remember Remember of any CAPR recommendations in said Program Review documents.

II. FACULTY PA

The President should give serious consideration to requests that show significant promise of helping University and the Departments meet their missions.

The President should give pyidro those faculty hiring requests that present the best opportunities to advance the University's mission, make the most effective use of resources, and support the principle this guide. The President should give favorable attention to requests that offer to combine resources

PRINCIPLE 3: While the University relies on both regulart (thenki) infaculty and lecturers, if CSUEB is to remain a quality institution and attract new students to its undergraduate and graduate programust rely prippailly on regular faculty and continue to work to achieve the goal-tofactor tenure faculty. The University also must insure that its general education program meets the needs of stude is well staffed by qualified, mainly regularttenture.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Departments and colleges seeking threatheries should show how such hires will improve the unit s quality and advance the unit s and the University s glogastians imbgeneral education. Departments in the arts and escishould, in general and where appropriate, seek faculty who are capable of teaching both in major programs and in general education.

The President/Provost should make every reasonable effort to replace and, when possible, exceed the number of regulaculty who are separating from the University. The President should give serious and careful consideration to requests for tackuresitions that make a strong case for replacing lecturers, especially from departments in which use of lecturesistications the appropriate uses presented above.

PRINCIPLE 4: The University must offer a wide variety of programs balancing professional preparation and new possibilities with education in the arts and sciences.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Departments and egods requesting new temack-hires should explain, where appropriate, how such hiring will help to maintain the University's broad array of programs and will reflect enrollment patter trends, and projections.

The President should, in making decisions about netwackeruiring authorizations, take into account the University's balance of professional and arts and sciences offerings to current and future studen

III. Submission Expectations for Fixear Program Reviews

Submission Expectations for Fixear Program Reviews B. Table of Contents Template

Table of Contents

1. Summary of the program [max. 5 pages]	??
2. SelfStudy .	??
2.1. Summary of Previous Review .58 O Td [ntenRry ofy ofy ofy ofy Pres]	

CAPR Five-Year Review Rubric

	Does not me€kpectations	ApproachingExpectations	Meet&xpectations
Program Summary			
Summary of Previous Review / Five Year Plan			
ProgramLearning Outcomes Assessment Pla			
Course Offerings			

GE Course Assessment (if 8a**̄ρβư**æ (O)**T**jeET EMC Q 62.

IV. CAPR Format for Response to FYMeear Program Reviews

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST BAY

DESIGNATION CODE: [year] CAPR [number] DATE SUBMITTED: [insert date]

TO: The Academic Senate

FROM: The Committee on Academic Planning and Review (CAPR)

SUBJECT: Five-Year Program Review for [insert program]

PURPOSE: For Action by the Academic Senate

ACTION

REQUESTED: [insert request]

CAPR Analysis of the Program s Year-Review

- " Program
 - 1.
 - 2.
 - 3.
- ' Resources
 - 1.
 - 2.
 - 3.

CAPR Recommendation(s) for Continuation of the Program

Date of the Program s NextYEimeReview